

DIFFERENT APPROACHES TO BIOLUBRICANTS

Clarification Paper

DOCUMENT 130 October 2018

Technical Committee of Petroleum Additive Manufacturers in Europe AISBL Registered in Belgium: 0694709743 Registered Address: Avenue de Tervueren 188A, box 4, B-1150 Brussels, Belgium

<u>"Biolubricants" and environmental standards associated with the lubricant additive supply chain</u>

ATC, the Technical Committee of Petroleum Additive Manufacturers in Europe, with a current membership of thirteen specialty chemical manufacturers (12 full time and 1 associate member), has developed a consensus position defining the term 'biolubricant' and supporting a common understanding of this term throughout the lubricant additive industry.

There are currently various different approaches used to define a "biolubricant", some of which are presented in the following overview. Until recently this term has been used flexibly to describe any lubricant containing a significant amount of biodegradable components. However, there is now a clear differentiation between the terms 'biodegradable lubricant' and 'bio-lubricant' based on the amount of measurable renewable material that the lubricant contains.

According to EN standard 16807:2017, a product described as a 'bio-lubricant' must contain at least 25% renewable material. In contrast, a lubricant can be described as 'biodegradable' without qualifying as a 'bio-lubricant'. This situation is not entirely satisfactory and could be confusing to consumers and other end users. The purpose of this paper is therefore to standardize the use of the appropriate terminology in the lubricant additive supply chain.

Appendix 1 compares the different requirements of several common environmental standards, labelling schemes and specifications applicable to lubricants that have a favourable environmental profile. It is produced in a way that a quick comparison is possible, although for a detailed comparison the original documents must be studied.

- The requirements to be met by a biolubricant (or bio-based lubricant) can be assessed either on the finished product or on the individual components. Only the standard EN 16807:2017 fully relies on the finished lubricant in its definition. Other environmental standards usually focus on the environmental profile of individual components, although some offer an alternative approach by measuring certain characteristics of the finished product (e.g. aquatic toxicity).
- There are environmental standards which address **specific end use markets** (e.g. EPA VGP for vessels operating in US waters, OSPAR for offshore use in the North-East Atlantic) and there are others with **a broader scope** (e.g. EU Ecolabel, German "Blue Angel" etc).
- Most of the environmental standards require a formal assessment of supporting data by (or on behalf of) a national government whereas the EPA VGP is a selfassessment scheme containing definitions of "biodegradable", "not bioaccumulative" and "minimally toxic" which are aligned to the EU Ecolabel criteria for lubricants (2014), as well as other European environmental

standards that are commonly applied to lubricants that are "environmentally acceptable".

- Most of the environmental standards **exclude specific hazardous substances**, e. g. those which are classified as CMR or SVHC, and some have a **positive list** of assessed components or substances (e.g. EU Ecolabel LuSC list, OSPAR PLONOR list).
- Considering the environmental fate (biodegradation/bioaccumulation) and aquatic toxicity requirements, the environmental standards with a broader scope show different ambition levels depending on the application. Most demanding are those concerning total loss lubricants (e.g. chainsaw oils, concrete release agents etc.) whereas those for accidental loss lubricants (e. g. hydraulic oils or closed gear oils) are less demanding.
- There is no consensus on a minimum renewable material content among the various environmental standards. Currently, only the EN 16807:2017 include a criterion with regard to a minimum content. The EU Ecolabel standard in force from 1st January 2019 will contain a renewable content criterion but is voluntary rather than mandatory. However, for an ecolabel fluid to be described as a 'biolubricant' it will need to contain a minimum, measured amount of renewable material.

In summary, the ATC consider that the descriptor 'biolubricant' should be used only if the lubricant contains at least 25% renewable content as measured by an acceptable method.

Appendix 1: Comparison of criteria for environmental standards relevant for the lubricant additive supply chain

	European Eco-label Lubricants agreed criteria: 2018	German Blue Angel RAL-UZ 178: 2014	Swedish Standard Hydraulics SS 15 54 34: 2015	OSPAR	US EPA Vessel General Permit (VGP) and small Vessel General Permit (sVGP):2013	Bio-lubricants EN 16807: 2017
Area of application	Extensive	Extensive	Hydraulic Fluids	Chemicals used in offshore exploration in the North Sea	Fluids used in/on vessels operating in US inland and coastal water.	Lubricant types described by ISO 6743
Testing of components or final lubricant	Main focus on components, but testing final lubricant permitted for aquatic toxicity	Main focus on components, but testing final lubricant permitted for aquatic toxicity	Focus on components, or final lubricant if one component is present in > 95% concentration	Components	Not specified; testing of components and/or final lubricant permitted (Note 1)	Final lubricant (Note 2)
Restrictions due to excluded or limited substances	Yes	Yes	Sensitizing substances only	No	No	No
Biodegradability limits	Yes, depending on application > 75- 95% of final lubricant should be readily biodegradable	Yes, depending on application > 95% of final lubricant should be readily biodegradable	Yes, > 95% of final lubricant should be biodegradable (Note 3)	Yes, > 60% according to OECD 306 (Note 4)	Yes, ≥ 90% for oil-based final lubricants and ≥ 75% of lubricating grease should be biodegradable	Yes, ≥ 60% for oil- based final lubricants and ≥ 50% for lubricating greases
Bioaccumulation limits	Yes, for non- biodegradable components; not acceptable range of log Kow from ≥ 3 and ≤ 7	Yes, for non- biodegradable components; not acceptable range of log Kow \geq 3. (Note 5),	No	Yes, not acceptable range of log Kow ≥ 3.	No	No

	European Eco-label lubricants agreed criteria: 2018	German Blue Angel RAL-UZ 178: 2014	Swedish Standard Hydraulics SS 15 54 34: 2015	OSPAR	US EPA Vessel General Permit (VGP) and small Vessel General Permit (sVGP): 2013	Bio-lubricants EN 16807: 20172017
Aquatic toxicity limits	Yes, limits vary depending on application and whether components tested or final lubricant	Yes, limits vary depending on application and whether components tested or final lubricant	Yes, LC/EC/IC ₅₀ > 100 mg/L for components present at ≥ 5%.	Yes, LC ₅₀ limits	Yes, limits vary depending on whether components tested or final lubricant	Yes, LC/EC ₅₀ > 100 mg/L, or final lubricant not classified as dangerous to the environment if relying on component data
Minimum renewability content	No (Note 6)	No (Note 6)	No	No	No	Yes, ≥ 25% measured by an acceptable method
Technical requirements specified	Yes, for some applications (e.g. KWF, ISO, DIN, or NMMA); fit for purpose for other applications	Yes, for some applications (e.g. KWF, ISO, DIN); fit for purpose for other lubricants	Yes	No	No	Yes, for some applications (e.g. ISO, DIN); technical performance to be confirmed between formulator and end user for other uses
Positive List	Yes, LuSC list	No	No	Yes, PLONOR list and "Offshore Chemical Notification Scheme List of Notified and Ranked Products"	No	Not applicable

Note 1 – Lubricants that qualify for EU Ecolabel, Blue Angel, Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic (OSPAR), Nordic Swan, Swedish Standards (SS 155434 (Hydraulic) and 155470 (Grease, not considered here) or EPA Design for Environment automatically satisfy VGP criteria for an Environmentally Acceptable Lubricant (note that Nordic Swan for Lubricants no longer exists)

Note 2 – Testing final lubricant for aquatic toxicity is not required where adequate data at 3 trophic levels is available for all intentionally-added components present $\geq 0.1\%$

Note 3 – Test method and criterion (i.e. > 60% or > 70%) depends on water solubility (≤ 100 mg/L or > 100 mg/L) of component or final lubricant at 20°C

Note 4 – Components that biodegrade < 60% are permitted for use offshore depending on outcome of risk assessment by operator. Products containing components that biodegrade < 20% have a substitution warning assigned. Other biodegradation methods are accepted but a correction factor is usually applied

Note 5 - Derogation for components with log Kow > 6 if no alternative is possible, and can be justified

Note 6 – The use of the term 'bio' (e.g. bio-based lubricant or bio-lubricant) is only permitted where the final lubricant contains at least 25% measured renewable content

Abbreviations used in this document

CMR	Carcinogenic, Mutagenic and Toxic for Reproduction		
DIN	Deutches Industrienorm		
EC(50)	(Half Maximal) Effective Concentration		
EN	European Norm		
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency (US)		
EU	European Union		
IC ₍₅₀₎	(Half Maximal) Inhibitory Concentration		
ISO	International Organisation for Standardisation		
Kow	Octanol - Water Partition Coefficient		
KWF	Kuratorium für Waldarbeit und Forsttechnik (Committee for Forest Labour and Forest		
	Engineering)		
LC ₍₅₀₎	(Half Maximal) Lethal Concentration		
LuSC	Lubricant Substance Classification (list)		
NMMA	National Marine Manufacturers Association		
OECD	Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development		
OSPAR	Mechanism by which 15 Governments & the EU cooperate to protect the marine		
	environment of the North-East Atlantic		
PLONOR	Pose Little or No Risk to the Environment		
RAL-UZ	Basic Criteria for Award of the Environmental Label (from RAL, the Environmental Label		
	Jury)		
SS	Swedish Standard		
sVGP	Small Vessel General Permit		
SVHC	Substance of Very High Concern		
VGP	Vessel General Permit		